

## Chapter 14: Inference For Regression (Last Topic !!)

### 14.1 Inference about the model. - Day 1

OBS: You will find a conf. int. for the true slope ( $\beta$ ) of a LSRL.

Look @ Bottom of pg 780 to Bottom of pg. 782.

- $\hat{y} = a + bx$
- \* Slope  $b$  and  $y_{\text{int}}$  are statistics - they are calculated from the sample data. (Like  $\bar{x}$  &  $\bar{y}$ )
  - \* To Do Inference we think of  $a$  and  $b$  as estimators of parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  (Like  $\mu$  &  $\rho$ )

### Conditions for Regression Inference

- Linearity (check the scatterplot for signs of curvature)
- Independence (check the residual plot for randomness)
- Consistency of Variance (check Residual Plot to Be sure it is not Fan shaped)
- Normality of Errors (check the histogram of the residuals)

### Inference

- The first step is to estimate the unknown parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\sigma$ .
- Calculate  $\hat{y} = a + bx$
- $b$  of the LSRL is an unbiased estimator of the true slope  $\beta$ .
- $a$  of the LSRL is an unbiased estimator of the true  $y_{\text{int}}$   $\alpha$ .

Example 14.2 + 2 TP After } Residuals =  $\text{OBS } y - \text{Exp. } y$   
=  $y - \hat{y}$

Standard Error About the LSRL

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum \text{Residual}^2}{n-2}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (y - \hat{y})^2}{n-2}} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{ON FS. (In num. of } S_{y, x} \text{ - St. Dev)} \\ \text{use } s \text{ to estimate the unknown } \sigma. \end{array} \right\}$$

df of  $s$  is  $n-2$  (Why  $n-2$  instead of  $n-1$ ?)  
b/c now we are observing 2-variables!

Conf. Int. For  $\beta = b \pm t^* \frac{s}{\sqrt{\sum (x - \bar{x})^2}}$

-or-

$b \pm t^* s_E$

Example 14.4

HW: 14.10, 14.11

## 14.1 Inference About the Model - Day 2

OBJ: You will Test the hypothesis of various  $H_0: \beta = ?$

$$t = \frac{b - \beta}{SE_b}$$

Evaluate  $t$  by hand.

Use table C to find p-value.

$$H_0: \beta = 0$$

- The mean of  $y$  does not  $\Delta$  at all when  $X \Delta$
- There is no true Lin. Rel. between  $X$  &  $y$ .
- Exp Var (x) has no straight line relationship with resp. var (y).

$$2007 \begin{cases} H_0: \beta = 1 \\ H_a: \beta > 1 \end{cases} \quad \bullet \text{ The mean of } y \Delta \text{'s at the same rate as } X$$

\* Regression output (Statistical Software) Give  $t$  and Two sided p-value.

Example 14.5

Example 14.6

HW: 14.6

Other values for  $H_0: \beta =$

> I have always been under the impression that a hypothesis test for regression slope tests the nullity of the model.

> in other words that the slope is or is not zero.

I think it is easy to get lulled into that idea, especially b/c the model utility test is so ubiquitous and we rarely (it seems) have an occasion to test a non-zero slope. I think this rarity is probably due to a lack of knowledge of situations that might lead to hypothesis tests (a) other than zero, and (b) other than slope for regression.

Let me see if I can dredge something up...

#### Problem #1: Test Prep Company

Suppose I have a wiz-bang new method of test prep for the SAT, and I want to guarantee a 25 point increase across the board on the next test. Then I would think a reasonable model would be:  $\text{NewScore} = \alpha + \beta \cdot \text{OldScore} + \text{Error}$ . My null hypotheses would seem to be:  $\alpha = 25$  AND  $\beta = 1$ .

#### Problem #2: The cubic scaling hypothesis

Some biologist or other has told me that for ears of corn of a given hybrid, the volume is proportional to the cube of the length. (This is the standard assumption for organisms of the same species.)

OK, I reason that this means  $V = kL^{(1/3)}$ , and I do the appropriate transformation... Now I have:

$$\text{Log}V = \alpha + (1/3)\text{Log}L + \text{Error}.$$

My null hypotheses would seem to be:  $\alpha = 0$  AND  $\beta = 1/3$ .

#### Problem #3: Assortative mating

It has been commonly observed (at least among arthropod observers) that larger males tend to mate with larger females. Suppose that a theory is posited that males (being males, right ladies??) will pick females (or at least they THINK they are making the decision) smaller than themselves by 10%. Then, for the typical garden variety male Mexican Redknee tarantula (*Brachypelma smithi*) one would have a model similar to Problem #2 but w/o the transformation. For the male and female leg lengths, we would suppose the following model to be reasonable:

$$\text{FLL} = \alpha + \beta \cdot \text{MLL}$$

My null hypotheses would seem to be:  $\alpha = 0$  AND  $\beta = 0.90$ .